UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,808	01/29/2004	Richard E. Rowe	IGT1P205/P000899-001	5545
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP - IGT Attn: IGT P.O. Box 70250 Oakland, CA 94612-0250			EXAMINER	
			PINHEIRO, JASON PAUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@wavsip.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/767,808	ROWE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason Pinheiro	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u>	av 2010					
	action is non-final.					
<del>'=</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,14-26,28,30 and 32-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12,14-26,28,30 and 32-38</u> is/are	rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/06/2010</u> .	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. After the amendment filed on 05/05/2010, Claims 1-2, 8-9, 11, 19, 22-26, 28, 33-36 and 38 were amended, and claims 29 and 31 were cancelled. Therefore, claims 1-2, 4-12, 14-26, 28, 30 and 32-38 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-12, 14-26, 28, 30, and 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Paulsen (US 2002/0142846) in view of Lark et al (US 2002/0142825).

Regarding claim 1: Paulsen discloses a network server comprising a network server controller comprising a processor and a memory operatively coupled to said processor (paragraph [0015]), said network server controller being programmed to: receive request data relating to a request for a game (paragraph [0015]), receive preference data relating to preferences of a plurality of players including a first player and a second player (paragraph [0015]), receive game characteristics data relating to game characteristics of a plurality of available games (paragraph [0016] – paragraph [0018]), select a game from said plurality of available games (by selecting the first game, the generated result is

Art Unit: 3714

the ability to play a game); provide said game in response to said request (paragraph [0015] – paragraph [0016]), a gaming apparatus operatively coupled to said network server (paragraph [0011]), said gaming apparatus comprising: a display unit capable of generating video images (paragraph [0011]), a value input device (paragraph [0003]) and a gaming apparatus controller comprising a processor and a memory operatively coupled to said processor (paragraph [0011]), said gaming apparatus controller being programmed to: provide said network server with said request data (paragraph [0011]), receive game selection data relating to said game (paragraph [0011]), cause said display unit to generate a game display relating to said game (paragraph [0011]), determine a value payout associated with an outcome of said game (paragraph [0004]). However Paulson does not disclose that said selection of said game is performed by comparing said first player preferences with said preferences of said second player.

Lark '825 discloses selecting an available game by comparing said first player preferences with said preferences of said second player (paragraph [0124]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the teachings of Lark into the teachings of Paulsen in order to provide gaming machines which maintain and increase game playing interest and also reduce a frequency of rearrangement of gaming machines on the casino floor (Lark, paragraph [0010]).

Application/Control Number: 10/767,808

Regarding claim 2: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. Paulsen further discloses that the network server is further programmed to suggest said game which has been selected from said plurality of available games (paragraph [0072]).

Regarding claims 4 and 15: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. Paulsen further discloses that said second player preferences comprise preferences of said demographic of said second player (paragraph [0012]).

Regarding claims 5, 16and 30: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. Paulsen further discloses that said network server controller is programmed to select an available game by comparing a game previously played by said first player to said plurality of available games (paragraph [0011]). Regarding claims 6, 17 and 37: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. Paulsen further discloses that said network server controller is programmed to provide previous game data relating to a game previously played by said first player to said gaming apparatus (paragraph [0011]), wherein said gaming apparatus controller is programmed to cause said display unit to generate a game display relating to said previously played game (paragraph [0011]).

Regarding claims 7 and 18: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. Paulsen further discloses that said gaming apparatus controller is programmed to provide said network server controller with a player identification (paragraph [0067]), wherein said network server controller is programmed to

Art Unit: 3714

receive player profile data relating to a player profile associated with said player identification, said player profile data comprising said first player preferences (paragraph [0011] – paragraph [0012]).

Regarding claim 8: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses that said network server controller is programmed to select a game characteristic from a plurality of game characteristics based upon said first player preferences to provide a game characteristic selection comprising said selected game characteristic (paragraph [0011]), wherein said gaming apparatus controller is programmed to cause said display unit to generate a game characteristic selection display relating to said game characteristic selection (paragraph [0033]), wherein said gaming apparatus controller is programmed to implement a game characteristic from said game characteristic selection in said game (paragraph [0011]).

Regarding claims 9 and 22: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. Paulsen further discloses that which is discussed above. Paulsen further discloses that said controller is programmed to cause said display unit to generate a game display relating to: said game including poker, blackjack, slots, keno or bingo (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 10: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses that said display unit comprises a video display unit that is capable of generating video images (paragraph [0013]).

Application/Control Number: 10/767,808

Art Unit: 3714

Page 6

Regarding claim 11: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. Paulsen further discloses that said controller is programmed to cause a video image comprising an image of at least five playing cards to be displayed if said game that is displayed comprises video poker, wherein said controller is programmed to cause a video image comprising an image of a plurality of simulated slot machine reels to be displayed if said game that is displayed comprises video slots, wherein said controller is programmed to cause a video image comprising an image of a plurality of playing cards to be displayed if said game that is displayed comprises video blackjack, wherein said controller is programmed to cause a video image comprising an image of a plurality of keno numbers to be displayed if said game that is displayed comprises video keno, wherein said controller is programmed to cause a video image comprising an image of a bingo grid to be displayed if said game that is displayed comprises video bingo (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 12: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses that said display unit comprises at least one mechanical slot machine reel (paragraph [0090]).

Regarding claim 14: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. However, Paulsen does not disclose that said network server controller is programmed to select an available game by comparing said first player preferences to preferences of said second player and selecting a game associated with said second player.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,808

Art Unit: 3714

Lark '825 discloses selecting a game associated with said second player (paragraph [0124]).

Page 7

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the teachings of Lark into the teachings of Paulsen in order to provide gaming machines which maintain and increase game playing interest and also reduce a frequency of rearrangement of gaming machines on the casino floor (Lark, paragraph [0010]).

Regarding claims 19 and 38: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. Paulsen further discloses that said network server controller is programmed to select a game characteristic from a plurality of game characteristics based upon said first player preferences to provide a game characteristic selection comprising said selected game characteristic (paragraph [0011]), wherein said gaming apparatus controller is programmed to cause said display unit to generate a game characteristic selection display relating to said game characteristic selection (paragraph [0033]), wherein said gaming apparatus controller is programmed to implement a game characteristic from said game characteristic selection in said game that is displayed (paragraph [0011]).

Regarding claims 20 and 32: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses that said gaming apparatuses are interconnected to form a network of gaming apparatuses (paragraph [0062], Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claim 21: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses that said gaming apparatuses are interconnected via the Internet (paragraph [0062], Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 23: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses that said game characteristic comprises payout tables (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 24: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses that said game characteristic comprises game themes (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 25: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses that said game characteristic comprises a minimum bet (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claim 26: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses that said game characteristic comprises a game type (paragraph [0013]).

Regarding claims 28 and 35: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above. However, Paulsen does not disclose that said controller is programmed to perform the selection by selecting, based on the comparison, said game characteristic associated with said second player.

Lark '825 discloses selecting a game associated with said second player (paragraph [0124]).

Art Unit: 3714

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the teachings of Lark into the teachings of Paulsen in order to provide gaming machines which maintain and increase game playing interest and also reduce a frequency of rearrangement of gaming machines on the casino floor (Lark, paragraph [0010]).

Regarding claim 33: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses receiving identification data relating to the identity of a first player (paragraph [0067]); receiving player profile data relating to a player profile associated with said player identity, said player profile comprising preference data relating to preferences of said first player (paragraph [0011] – paragraph [0012]); causing a game display of said game including poker, blackjack, slots, keno or bingo (paragraph [0013]); and determining a value payout associated with an outcome of said game represented by said video image (paragraph [0004]).

Regarding claim 34: Paulsen discloses that which is disclosed above.

Paulsen further discloses that said network server controller is programmed to receive game characteristics data relating to game characteristics of said plurality of said games, comparing said first player preferences to said game characteristics data (paragraph [0016] – paragraph [0018]); and selecting a game from the plurality of available games based on said comparing of said first player preferences with said game characteristics data (paragraph [0015] – paragraph [0016]).

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claim 36: Paulsen further discloses that said network server controller is programmed to select an available game by comparing a game previously played by said first player to said plurality of available games (paragraph [0011]); And selecting said previously played game from said available games (paragraph [0011], paragraph [0043]).

### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 05/05/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Regarding applicant's arguments that neither Paulsen nor Lark teaches that the network server controller is programmed to "select a game from a plurality of available games by comparing preferences of a first player with preferences of a second player": The Examiner must respectfully disagree, as disclosed above, Lark discloses selecting an available game by comparing said first player preferences with said preferences of said second player (i.e., the preferences of a second player playing the gaming device following a first player will have their preferences compared to the first player to determine if the gaming device must be modified to the preferences of the second player).

## Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3714

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Pinheiro whose telephone number is (571)270-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 AM - 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dmitry Suhol can be reached on (571) 272-4430. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry Suhol/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/J. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714 7.